UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,794	12/08/2005	Federico Mailland	622-89	7507	
23117 7590 01/09/2009 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			EXAMINER		
			TATE, CHRISTOPHER ROBIN		
ARLINGTON,	V A 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/559,794	MAILLAND, FED	ERICO			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R. Tate	1655				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Christopher R. Tate</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Leonard Mitchard</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>06 January 2009</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: All, in general.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>All, in general</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed various amendments to the claims which would appear to help overcome the USC 102 rejection of record (e.g., amending claim 25 so as to recitein a patient having Onychoschizia and/or including claim 52 therein). Also discussed the overall art with respect to the USC 102 and 103 rejections of record.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Christopher R. Tate/						